

Application Review Information

The Association develops the scoring criteria, ranks, and selects proposals for funding and produces an annual Priority List according to the [Wildlife and Sport Fish Restoration Programs Improvement Act of 2000 \(Pub. L. 106-408\)](#). WSFR awards the proposals that are published on the Priority List.

Criteria

Final Proposal Review and Selection Process: Once proposals have been submitted to the Association, they will be distributed to the Technical Review Committee to review and score. The members' responses will be used to develop a relative ranking of proposals for the final review stage of the grant selection process. The technical scoring criteria used to evaluate proposals are listed below. Each criterion listed below should be scored on a scale from 0-5, with 0 representing the lowest score and 5 representing the highest score.

Scale: 5 = Exceptional
4 = Very Good
3 = Good
2 = Fair
1 = Poor
0 = Very Poor

Impact

1. How adequately does the proposal respond to the strategic priority?
2. How adequately does the proposal incorporate the most relevant science and other best practices needed to address the strategic priority effectively?
3. Is it clear how the deliverables (tools, resources, or information) developed in this project will help agencies manage fish, wildlife, or constituent resources?

Project Objective

4. Are the project objectives specific, measurable, time-bound, and clearly define what you want to achieve?

Methods

5. How likely is the project's proposed methodology to accomplish its stated goals and objectives?
6. How likely is the project's proposed methodology to produce dependable and useful deliverables to state fish and wildlife agencies and their partners?

Anticipated Life of Deliverables

7. Will the project have a long-term, sustainable impact, and can that long-term impact be adequately quantified?

Monitoring and Evaluation

8. How effectively will the proposal's evaluation and monitoring plan accurately document and assess the project's desired outcomes?
9. How well do the project's desired outcomes align with those indicated in the strategic priority under which the proposal was submitted?
10. Is the evaluation process clearly described and included in the proposal as part of the tasks to be completed and information disseminated in a final report?

Project Costs

11. Are the proposed project costs reasonable?

Guidelines

12. Did the Applicant follow the guidelines for preparing this proposal?

Open-Ended Questions:

Did the Applicant consider the Technical Review Team's recommendations?

How can the Applicant improve outputs/outcomes during the implementation?

Other Comments: